Bright squeezed light via second harmonic generation in a whispering-gallery mode resonator

Matt T. Simons

Department of Physics College of William & Mary

SPIE Photonics West 2013

Outline



2 SHG Noise Analysis

3 Experimental Progress

<□> <@> < E> < E> E のQ@

Whispering-gallery mode resonators

- Low power
- Narrow bandwidth



- Nonlinear crystals
- Nonclassical light



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Squeezed light





Outline





3 Experimental Progress



Theoretical model



Intracavity Hamiltonian:

$$H_{sys} = \hbar\omega_a a^{\dagger}a + \hbar\omega_b b^{\dagger}b + \frac{\imath}{2}\hbar\epsilon(a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}b - aab^{\dagger})$$
(1)

▲□▶ < 圖▶ < 圖▶ < 圖▶ : 圖 : のQ@</p>

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

With $\dot{x} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[x, H]$ the intracavity fields change in time as:

$$\dot{a} = -\imath\omega_a a - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_a^{tot}a + \epsilon a^{\dagger}b + \sqrt{\gamma_a^i}a_{in} + \sqrt{\gamma_a^u}u_a \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{b} = -\imath\omega_b b - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_b^{tot}b - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon aa + \sqrt{\gamma_b^i}b_{in} + \sqrt{\gamma_b^u}u_b$$
(3)

Assuming unseeded SHG ($\bar{b}_{in} = 0$), and approximating each field as $x = \langle x \rangle + \delta x$:

$$\dot{\delta a} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_a^{tot}\delta a + \epsilon \bar{a}^*\delta b + \epsilon \bar{b}\delta a^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\gamma_a^i}\delta a_{in} + \sqrt{\gamma_a^u}\delta u_a \qquad (4)$$

$$\dot{\delta b} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_b^{tot}\delta b - \epsilon \bar{a}\delta a + \sqrt{\gamma_b^i}\delta b_{in} + \sqrt{\gamma_b^u}\delta u_b \tag{5}$$

$$\delta \tilde{A}_{1}^{out} = \tilde{\delta A}_{out} + \tilde{\delta A}_{out}^{\dagger}, \quad \delta \tilde{B}_{1}^{out} = \tilde{\delta B}_{out} + \tilde{\delta B}_{out}^{\dagger}$$
(6)

Output variance as a function of input powerFundamental field $\langle |\delta A_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$ Second harmonic $\langle |\delta B_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$



Fundamental field $\langle |\delta A_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$



Second harmonic $\langle |\delta B_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$



 $Q = 10^{8}$

 $Q = 10^{8}$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Outline



2 SHG Noise Analysis





Experimental setup



- MgO:LiNbO3 disk
- 7mm diameter
- $1064nm \rightarrow 532nm$ at $81^{\circ}C$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

MgO:LiNbO₃ Disk

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

ヨト ヨ







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ▲◎

Potassium lithium niobate (KLN)

Second harmonic generation using natural phase matching 802 nm \rightarrow 401 nm near 24°C 795 nm \rightarrow 397 nm near 9°C





▲ロト ▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Thank you!

Potassium lithium niobate (KLN)

Second harmonic power vs. pump wavelength



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

Previously . . .

- LiNbO₃ WGMRs
- Q-factor $> 10^7$
- $1064nm \rightarrow 532nm$
- Hyper-Raman scattering



Previously . . .

- LiNbO₃ WGMRs
- Q-factor $> 10^7$
- $1064nm \rightarrow 532nm$
- Hyper-Raman scattering



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Previously . . .

- LiNbO₃ WGMRs
- Q-factor $> 10^7$
- $1064nm \rightarrow 532nm$
- Hyper-Raman scattering



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

1064nm Tunable laser



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

Annealing WGMR disk

▲ロト ▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

- **1** Polish disk with 0.1 μ m diamond paper
- **2** Anneal 600° for 24 hrs.
- **6** Polish disk with 0.1 μ m diamond paper



Solved for $Var(A_1^{out}) = \langle |\delta A_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$ as a function of

• WGMR quality factor *Q*



Figure : f = 10 MHz, $P_{in} = 500 \mu$ W

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

Solved for $Var(A_1^{out}) = \langle |\delta A_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$ as a function of

- WGMR quality factor *Q*
- Input power P_{in}



Figure : $Q = 10^8, f = 10 \text{ MHz}$

▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Solved for $Var(A_1^{out}) = \langle |\delta A_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$ as a function of

- WGMR quality factor *Q*
- Input power P_{in}
- Detection frequency f



Figure : $Q = 10^8$, $P_{in} = 500 \ \mu W$

Second harmonic squeezing



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 の々で

KLN Whispering-gallery disks

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Demonstrate naturally-phase matched SHG from 795 \rightarrow 397 nm



Demonstrate SHG squeezing at 795 nm

Hyper-Raman squeezing

▲□▶ ▲課▶ ▲注▶ ★注▶ … 注: のへで



Hyper-Raman squeezing

▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



• "In hyper-Raman scattering squeezing exists ... in the fundamental pump mode."

Hyper-Raman squeezing



- "In hyper-Raman scattering squeezing exists ... in the fundamental pump mode."
- "The Stokes mode in hyper-Raman scattering is squeezed when a fundamental mode with amplitude-squared squeezing propagates through a nonlinear medium."

Assuming unseeded SHG ($\bar{b}_{in} = 0$), and approximating each field as $x = \langle x \rangle + \delta x$, the equations describing the fluctuation of the fields are

$$\dot{\delta a} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_a^{tot}\delta a + \epsilon \bar{a}^*\delta b + \epsilon \bar{b}\delta a^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\gamma_a^i}\delta a_{in} + \sqrt{\gamma_a^u}\delta u_a \qquad (7)$$

$$\dot{\delta b} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_b^{tot}\delta b - \epsilon \bar{a}\delta a + \sqrt{\gamma_b^i}\delta b_{in} + \sqrt{\gamma_b^u}\delta u_b \tag{8}$$

$$\tilde{x}_{c} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\delta a} \\ \tilde{\delta a}^{\dagger} \\ \tilde{\delta b} \\ \tilde{\delta b}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{x}_{in} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\delta a}_{in} \\ \tilde{\delta a}_{in}^{\dagger} \\ \tilde{\delta b}_{in} \\ \tilde{\delta b}_{in}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{x}_{u} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\delta u}_{a} \\ \tilde{\delta u}_{a} \\ \tilde{\delta u}_{b} \\ \tilde{\delta u}_{b}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

such that the fluctuation equations can be expressed in matrix form:

$$i\Omega\tilde{x}_c = M_c\tilde{x}_c + M_{in}\tilde{x}_{in} + M_u\tilde{x}_u \tag{10}$$

Matrices

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

$$M_{c} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{a}^{tot} & \epsilon\bar{b} & \epsilon\bar{a}^{*} & 0\\ \epsilon\bar{b}^{*} & -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{a}^{tot} & 0 & \epsilon\bar{a}\\ -\epsilon\bar{a} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{b}^{tot} & 0\\ 0 & -\epsilon\bar{a}^{*} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{b}^{tot} \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

$$M_{in} \equiv diag\left(\sqrt{\gamma_a^i}, \sqrt{\gamma_a^i}, \sqrt{\gamma_b^i}, \sqrt{\gamma_b^i}\right)$$
(12)

$$M_{u} \equiv diag\left(\sqrt{\gamma_{a}^{u}}, \sqrt{\gamma_{a}^{u}}, \sqrt{\gamma_{b}^{u}}, \sqrt{\gamma_{b}^{u}}\right)$$
(13)

$$\tilde{x}_c = \left(\imath \Omega I - M_c\right)^{-1} \left(M_{in} \tilde{x}_{in} + M_u \tilde{x}_u \right) \tag{14}$$

$$\tilde{x}_{o} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta A_{out} \\ \delta A_{out}^{\dagger} \\ \delta B_{out} \\ \delta B_{out}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = M_{in} \tilde{x}_{c} - \tilde{x}_{in}$$
(15)

$$\tilde{x}_o = [M_{in} (\imath \Omega I - M_c)^{-1} M_{in} - I] \tilde{x}_{in}$$
$$+ M_{in} (\imath \Omega I - M_c)^{-1} M_u \tilde{x}_u$$
(16)

$$\delta A_1^{out} = \delta A_{out} + \delta A_{out}^{\dagger} \tag{17}$$

Variance

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Noise in the amplitude quadrature of the output field $(A_1^{out} = A_{out} + A_{out}^{\dagger})$ is calculated by the variance:

$$Var\left(A_{1}^{out}\right) = \left\langle |A_{1}^{out} - \left\langle A_{1}^{out} \right\rangle|^{2} \right\rangle$$
(18)

$$A_1^{out} = \langle A_1^{out} \rangle + \delta A_1^{out} \tag{19}$$

$$Var(A_1^{out}) = \langle |\delta A_1^{out}|^2 \rangle$$
(20)

Squeezed light

Particle: position & momentum uncertainty relation:

$$\Delta x \Delta p \ge \frac{\hbar}{2} \tag{21}$$

Light: amplitude & phase uncertainty relation:

$$\Delta A \Delta \Phi \ge \frac{1}{2} \tag{22}$$



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = 三 のくで

$$\vec{P} \sim \chi^{(1)}\vec{E} + \chi^{(2)}\vec{E}^2 + \cdots$$
 (23)



• Energy Conservation

$$\omega + \omega = 2\omega \tag{24}$$

• Momentum conservation

$$\Delta k = k_{2\omega} - 2k_{\omega} = \frac{2\omega}{c} (n(2\omega) - n(\omega)) \tag{25}$$

Squeezed light

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで



Squeezed light

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで





• Momentum conservation

$$\Delta k = k_{2\omega} - 2k_{\omega} = \frac{2\omega}{c}(n(2\omega) - n(\omega))$$

• $\Delta k \rightarrow 0$ Phase-matching



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ・豆・ 釣々で

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

$$\vec{P} \sim \chi^{(1)} \vec{E} + \chi^{(2)} \vec{E}^2 + \cdots$$



Intensity dependent process

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

$$\vec{P} \sim \chi^{(1)} \vec{E} + \chi^{(2)} \vec{E}^2 + \cdots$$



Intensity dependent process

• High-power pump laser

▲□▶ ▲課▶ ▲注▶ ★注▶ … 注: のへで

$$\vec{P} \sim \chi^{(1)} \vec{E} + \chi^{(2)} \vec{E}^2 + \cdots$$



Intensity dependent process

- High-power pump laser
- High-quality cavity

Whispering-gallery mode resonators (WGMRs)





(□) (@) (E) (E) (E)

Coupling to whispering-gallery modes





Coupling to whispering-gallery modes





▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

Whispering-gallery mode resonators



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 - 釣えで

Coupling to whispering-gallery modes

Frequency scanned output from our LiNbO3 WGMR disk near 795nm

Q-factor of $Q = 10^7$



▲ロト▲撮と▲臣と▲臣と 臣 の文(で

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで



(□) (∅) (E) (E) (E)





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Input 1064 nm Power = 11 mW

 $T = 26 \ ^{\circ}C$



Raman scattering of the second harmonic

Input 1064 nm Power = 650 mW

 $T=26\ ^{\circ}C$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Hyper-Raman scattering



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

Summary

- Demonstrated whispering-gallery mode disks
- Observed SHG in WGMR disks
- Predict bright squeezed light
- Observed hyper-Raman scattering

Acknowledgements

